

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,106	09/17/2003	Peter C. McEachen	LTTK.P6540US	6146
7590 08/11/2004			EXAMINER	
Cynthia S. Murphy			MILLER, BENA B	
Renner, Otto, Boisselle & Sklar, LLP				DARED NUMBER
Nineteenth Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1621 Euclid Avenue			3712	
Cleveland, OH 44115-2191			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/665,106	MCEACHEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bena Miller	3712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-32</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) 🔯 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				
S Patent and Todomark Office						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/665,106

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 10-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bristow or Snyder.

Bristow and Snyder teaches in the figures most of the elements of the claimed invention except for an attachable item, a fishing rod, outputs corresponding to addition or subtraction of numerals, hats, masks, other accessories, magnetic connectors, a torso and missing body parts. Bristow and Snyder teaches a RF identification system for use in toys. Both teach an object or objects are brought in close proximity with a toy to produce a response by the toy (col. 4, par. 1 and 2 of Snyder and col. 4, par. 1 and 2 of Bristow). It would have been considered a mere design choice to have a fishing rod, outputs corresponding to addition or subtraction of numerals, hats, masks, other accessories, magnetic connectors, a torso and missing body parts as the host and items of Bristow or Snyder. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the items and host of Bristow or Snyder for the purpose of creating excitement when playing with the toy. Further, it would have been obvious to attach the items and the host of Bristow and Snyder for the purpose of creating an image of a toy when attached.

Claims 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bristow or Snyder in view of Soto et al.

Bristow and Snyder teaches most of the elements of the claimed invention except for a bar code identification device. Soto et al teaches in the figures a scanning toy having a RF identification tag reader and using a barcode (220) to scan an item. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a bar code identification device as taught by Soto et al to the toy of Bristow or Snyder for the purpose of producing unique audio outputs (p. 2, par. 0024).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bena Miller whose telephone number is 703.305.0643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,106

Art Unit: 3712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bena Miller Examiner Art Unit 3712 Page 4

bbm August 06, 2004